



THE IUCN RED LIST
OF THREATENED SPECIES™



***Phylloscopus borealis* (Arctic Warbler)**

European Red List of Birds

Supplementary Material

The European Union (EU28) Red List assessments were based principally on the official data reported by EU Member States to the European Commission under Article 12 of the Birds Directive in 2019-20. For the European Red List assessments, similar data were sourced from BirdLife Partners and other collaborating experts in other European countries and territories. For more information, see BirdLife International (2021).

Contents

Reported national population sizes and trends
Trend maps of reported national population data
Sources of reported national population data
Species factsheet bibliography

Recommended citation

BirdLife International (2021) European Red List of Birds. Luxembourg: Publications Office of the European Union.

Further information

<http://datazone.birdlife.org/info/euroredlist>
<http://www.birdlife.org/europe-and-central-asia/european-red-list-birds-0>
<http://www.iucnredlist.org/regions/europe>
<http://ec.europa.eu/environment/nature/conservation/species/redlist/>

Data requests and feedback

To request access to these data in electronic format, provide new information, correct any errors or provide feedback, please email science@birdlife.org.

Phylloscopus borealis (Arctic Warbler)

Table 1. Reported national breeding population size and trends in Europe¹.

Country (or territory) ²	Population estimate				Short-term population trend ⁵				Long-term population trend ⁵				Subspecific population (where relevant)
	Size (pairs) ³	Europe (%)	Year(s)	Method ⁴	Direction ⁶	Magnitude (%) ⁷	Year(s)	Method ⁴	Direction ⁶	Magnitude (%) ⁷	Year(s)	Method ⁴	
Finland	100–700	<1	2013-2018	expert	-	-38 to -18	2000-2018	partial	-	-60 to -39	1990-2018	partial	
Norway	15–100	<1	2013-2018	deficient	?		2013-2018	deficient	?		1980-2018	partial	
Russia	3000000–4000000	100	2006-2018	partial	+	10 to 20	2006-2018	expert	+	10 to 20	1980-2018	expert	
Sweden	60–130	<1	2013-2018	expert	?		2007-2018	deficient	?		1980-2018	deficient	
EU28	160–830	<1											
Europe	3000000–4010000	100											

¹ See 'Sources' at end of factsheet, and for more details on individual EU Member State reports, see the Article 12 reporting portal at <http://bd.eionet.europa.eu/article12/report>.

² The designation of geographical entities and the presentation of the material do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of IUCN or BirdLife International concerning the legal status of any country, territory or area, or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries.

³ In the few cases where population size estimates were reported in units other than those specified, they were converted to the correct units using standard correction factors.

⁴ The 'method used' (replacing the data 'quality' assessment in the 2015 European Red List) is reported as: a) Complete: complete survey or a statistically robust estimate; b) Partial: based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data; c) Expert: based mainly on expert opinion with very limited data; d) Deficient: insufficient or no data available.

⁵ The robustness of regional trends to the effects of any missing or incomplete data was tested using plausible scenarios, based on other sources of information, including any other reported information, recent national Red Lists, scientific literature, other publications and consultation with relevant experts.

⁶ Trend directions are reported as: increasing (+); decreasing (-); stable (0); fluctuating (F); or unknown (?).

⁷ Trend magnitudes are rounded to the nearest integer.

Trend maps

A symbol appears in each country where the species occurs: the shape and colour of the symbol represent the population trend in that country, and the size of the symbol corresponds to the proportion of the European population occurring in that country.

KEY

- | | |
|---|---------------------------------|
| ↑ Large increase (≥50%) | ↓ Large decrease (≥50%) |
| ↑ Moderate increase (20–49%) | ↓ Moderate decrease (20–49%) |
| ↑ Small increase (<20%) | ↓ Small decrease (<20%) |
| ↑ Increase of unknown magnitude | ↓ Decrease of unknown magnitude |
| ■ Stable or fluctuating | |
| □ Unknown | |
| ○ Present (no population or trend data) | |
| × Extinct since 1980 | |

Each symbol, with the exception of Present and Extinct, may occur in up to three different size classes, corresponding to the proportion of the European population occurring in that country.

- ↑ Large: ≥10% of the European population
- ↑ Medium: 1–9% of the European population
- ↑ Small: <1% of the European population

The designation of geographical entities and the presentation of the material do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of IUCN or BirdLife International concerning the legal status of any country, territory or area, or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries.

Figure 1. Breeding population sizes and short-term trends across Europe.





Figure 2. Breeding population sizes and long-term trends across Europe.



Phylloscopus borealis (Arctic Warbler)

Sources

Finland

Breeding population size: Lehtikoinen, S. A., Jukarainen, A., Mikkola-Roos, M., Below, A., Lehtiniemi, T., Pessa, J., ... Valkama, J. P. T. (2019). Linnut - Birds - Aves. In E. Hyvärinen, A. Juslén, E. Kemppainen, A. Uddström, & U-M. Liukko (Eds.), Suomen lajien uhanalaisuus - Punainen kirja 2019: The 2019 Red List of Finnish Species (pp. 560-570). Helsinki: Ympäristöministeriö & Suomen ympäristökeskus. Lehtikoinen, A., Below, A., Jukarainen, A., Laaksonen, T., Lehtiniemi, T., Mikkola-Roos, M., Pessa, J., Rajasärkkä, A., Rusanen, P., Sirkkiä, P., Tiainen, J. & Valkama, J. 2019: Suomen lintujen pesimäkantojen koot. – Linnut-vuosikirja 2018: 38-45.

Breeding short-term trend: BirdLife Finland 2019: Regional observation summary database of Finnish Birdwatching societies on scarce bird species. Lehtiniemi, T. 2019: Harvalukuiset lintulajit Suomessa 2017-2018. – Linnut-vuosikirja 2018: 26-37.

Breeding long-term trend: BirdLife Finland 2019: Regional observation summary database of Finnish Birdwatching societies on scarce bird species. Lehtiniemi, T. 2019: Harvalukuiset lintulajit Suomessa 2017-2018. – Linnut-vuosikirja 2018: 26-37.

Norway

Breeding population size: Shimmings P. & Øien, I.J. 2015. Bestandsestimater og trender for norske hekkefugler. NOF-rapport 2015-2.

Breeding long-term trend: Shimmings P. & Øien, I.J. 2015. Bestandsestimater og trender for norske hekkefugler. NOF-rapport 2015-2.

Russia

Breeding population size: Voltzit & Kalyakin 2013-2019; Database of the project on Atlas of breeding birds of European Russia

Breeding short-term trend: Preobrazhenskaya unpublished. voop21@rambler.ru

Breeding long-term trend: Rykova 2007

Sweden

Breeding population size: Ottosson, U., Ottvall, R., Elmberg, J., Green, M., Gustafsson, R., Haas, F., Holmqvist, N., Lindström, Å., Nilsson, L., Svensson, M., Svensson, S. & Tjernberg, M. 2012. Fåglarna i Sverige – antal och förekomst. SOF, Halmstad. Swedish Bird Survey. BirdLife Sverige, Annual Bird reports.

Breeding short-term trend: Species observation system, www.artportalen.se

Breeding long-term trend: BirdLife Sverige - annual reports Species observation system, www.artportalen.se

Bibliography

- Bird, J. P., Martin, R., Akçakaya, H. R., Gilroy, J., Burfield, I. J., Garnett, S. G., Symes, A., Taylor, J., Sekercioglu, Ç. H. and Butchart, S. H. M. 2020. Generation lengths of the world's birds and their implications for extinction risk. *Conservation Biology* 34(5): 1252-1261. DOI: 10.1111/cobi.13486.
- BirdLife International. 2015. European Red List of Birds. Office for Official Publications of the European Communities, Luxembourg.
- Clement, P. 2015. Arctic Warbler (*Phylloscopus borealis*). In: del Hoyo, J., Elliott, A., Sargatal, J., Christie, D.A. and de Juana, E. (eds), *Handbook of the Birds of the World Alive*, Lynx Edicions, Barcelona.
- Heikkinen, R.K., Luoto, M. and Virkkala, R. 2006. Does seasonal fine-tuning of climatic variables improve the performance of bioclimatic envelope models for migratory birds? *Diversity and Distributions* 12(5): 502-510.